ARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION

(For Intel Corporation Patent Applications)

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below, next to my name.

I believe I am the original, first, and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first, and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled

| METHOD A | | ACCESSING THREAD-PRIVA PRAGE OBJECTS | TIZED GLOBAL |
|--|--|---|--|
| the specification of which | 1 | | |
| | September 28, 2001 _United States Application | ation Number09/966,518 Application Number (if applicable) | as |
| including the claim(s), a believe that the claimed invention thereof, or pate thereof or more than one the United States of Ame been patented or made the any country foreign to | s amended by any ame invention was ever known ted or described in any ear prior to this application more than one year e subject of an inventor the United States of a more than twelve more | stand the contents of the above endment referred to above. I own or used in the United States printed publication in any confication, that the same was not it ar prior to this application, and or's certificate issued before the America on an application furths (for a utility patent application. | do not know and do not les of America before my untry before my invention in public use or on sale in that the invention has not date of this application in filed by me or my legal |
| I acknowledge the duty to in Title 37, Code of Fede | | on known to me to be material tn 1.56. | to patentability as defined |
| foreign application(s) for | patent or inventor's certification | Title 35, United States Code, Sertificate listed below and have ficate having a filing date before | also identified below any |
| Prior Foreign Application | <u>n(s)</u> | | Priority <u>Claimed</u> |
| (Number) | (Country) | (Foreign Filing Date) | Yes No |
| (Number) | (Country) | (Foreign Filing Date) | Yes No |

Rev. 07/27/01 (TX) Atty. Docket No.: 042390.P11919 -1-U.S. Application S/N: 09/966.518

(Country)

(Number)

(Foreign Filing Date)

Yes

(D3 Intel)

| | (Filing Date) | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| Application Number | (Filing Date) | | |
| application(s) listed below an not disclosed in the prior Unit 35, United States Code, Section be material to patentability a | d, insofar as the subject mated States application in the ron 112, I acknowledge the ds defined in Title 37, Code | tes Code, Section 120 of any United State ter of each of the claims of this application manner provided by the first paragraph of Titluty to disclose all information known to me to of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 which disclose and the national or PCT international | |
| Application Number | Filing Date | Status patented, pending, abandoned | |
| Application Number | Filing Date | Status patented, pending, abandoned | |
| · - | | (h.i.a.h. i.a. i.a. a | |
| I hereby appoint the persons li of this document) as my respec | ctive patent attorneys and pa | tent agents, with full power of substitution and business in the Patent and Trademark Offic | |

statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Atty. Docket No.: 042390.P11919 -2- Rev. 07/27/01 (TX) U.S. Application S/N: 09/966.518 (D3 Intel)







Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 Duty to Disclose Information Material to Patentability

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
 - (1) Prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) The closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.

Atty. Docket No.: 042390.P11919 -5- Rev. 07/27/01 (TX) U.S. Application S/N: 09/966.518 (D3 Intel)